

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/941,537	Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner John Ruggles	Art Unit 1756	

All Participants:

(1) John Ruggles.

(2) Randy Tung.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


S. ROSASCO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1500


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Appellants' representative Randy Tung to confirm that this application had been ABANDONED after BPAI affirmed the Examiner on 3/8/06. Randy Tung confirmed that no further action had been taken on behalf of Appellants and further requested faxing to 248-540-4035 of the previous 12/21/05 Board docketing notice and the 3/8/06 BPAI decision, both of which were faxed as requested on 5/25/06.